

PERSPECTIVES ON THE USE OF MOUSE MODELS OF DOWN SYNDROME IN TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH INVOLVING VISUAL AND MOTOR FUNCTIONS

Alberto Costa¹, Dafne Herrero^{1,2}

Down syndrome (DS) is a genetic disorder that results from the presence of one extra chromosome 21 in the cell nucleus (trisomy 21), and is the most frequent cause of intellectual disability of genetic origin¹. Presently, Brazilian epidemiological data show that the incidence of live born infants with DS is 1:600, whereas in the USA this number is approximately 1:700^{2,3}.

The large repertoire of phenotypic features and comorbidities generally listed in association with DS includes various congenital cardiac malformations, conductive and sensorineural hearing loss, increased risk of seizure disorder, atlantoaxial subluxation, and thyroid dysfunction (typically hypothyroidism; sometimes subsequent to transitory hyperthyroidism). Many features compatible with early aging are also common; including the universal presence of the characteristic Alzheimer-type pathology in the fourth decade of life and consequent increased frequency of dementia starting in the fifth decade^{4,5}. Besides these important DS components, the occurrence of alterations in visual and motor functions are also extremely frequent.

The visual system in persons with DS can be affected in several ways, including the increased incidence of refractive errors, accommodative imprecision, amblyopia, strabismus, spontaneous nystagmus, oculomotor and vestibular function abnormalities, decreased visual acuity, and reduced sensitivity for color and contrast⁶⁻¹¹. Studies in this field have yet to establish precisely whether the presentation of the ophthalmological abnormalities associated with DS is primarily of optical or neurological origin. However, studies conducted by John et al⁹, using a combination of behavioral and objective assessments (visually evoked potential recordings), provided strong evidence of a neurosensory origin for visual acuity and contrast sensitivity deficits previously reported in infants and children with DS⁷. Clinically, we observe that the use of refractive methods (glasses and contact lenses) only in rare instances fully correct visual acuity deficits in persons with DS.

Several forms of motor dysfunction are present universally in persons with DS. These are characterized by delays and alterations in motor development. Hypotonia, which is defined operationally as low resistance to passive movements, is observed also almost universally in children with DS, and so are articular hypermobility or hyperflexibility¹². From a functional point of view, however, these motor system disturbances are largely eclipsed by dysfunctions in dynamic motor capabilities, such as slow reaction time and alterations in postural reflexes and balance¹³.

Traditionally, rehabilitative interventions, in the form of physical and occupational therapy services, have been applied to children with DS with the aim of maximizing motor development. Wide evidence exist in the literature supporting the efficacy of these strategies¹⁴. Nevertheless, in general, such strategies are focused on the normalization of specific movements or groups of movements and do not differentiate between etiologies. Therefore, a child with DS tends to receive the same kind of intervention as a person with out DS clinically displaying a similar delay in motor development. Thus, the choice of specific therapeutic approaches, as well as the optimization of a specific strategy for a particular case, is limited both by the lack of appropriate control studies and by the lack a better understanding of the neural basis of the motor dysfunction that affects the individual with DS.

Similar to what happens in other fields of biomedical science, the use of animal models might be one of the ways to fill in the knowledge gaps in the areas related to both the visual deficits and motor dysfunction associated with DS. One of the obvious advantages in the use of animal models, especially the mouse (*Mus musculus*), is our ability to perform scientific studies that are technically or ethically not permissible in human beings. One mouse model in particular, the Ts65Dn, has been used preponderantly in the area of DS research. This mouse carries a trisomy for a large portion of the distal region of the long arm of mouse chromosome

1 Division of Pediatric Neurology, Department of Pediatrics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

2 Department of Maternal and Child Health, School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

Corresponding author: dafneh@uol.com.br

Suggested citation: Alberto C, Herrero D. Perspectives on the use of mouse models of down syndrome in translational research involving visual and motor functions. *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2014; 24(2): 123-125. Manuscript submitted May 12 2014, accepted for publication Jun 18 2014.

16 that has 60% of all human chromosome 21 homologous genes in this species.

Due to more or less obvious reasons, historically, most of the research involving murine models of DS have focused on the cognitive and neurological components of DS. In fact, such studies have generated more than 15 candidate pharmacological therapies targeted at improving the cognitive performance of persons with DS. Of these, one of the most advanced at present involves the drug memantine, which has produced a significant improvement in the ability of Ts65Dn mice to perform behavioral tasks designed to assess learning and memory¹⁶. These studies were reproduced independently in two different laboratories^{17,18}. More importantly, these findings have been translated into the human domain through a pilot clinical trial¹⁹, which reported significant improvements in the scores for one neuropsychological measure by persons with DS in the group that used memantine compared with the placebo group²⁰.

As aforementioned, the study of mouse models of DS has focused primarily on the cognitive and neurological components of DS. There are, however, clear and notable exceptions to this rule. For example, Costa et al²¹ and Hampton et al²² have studied several aspects of motor function in Ts65Dn mice, including gait dynamics, and found several similarities to observations made in persons with DS. Scott-McKean et al (2010) have shown that, similar to persons with DS, Ts65Dn mice display deficits detectable by electrophysiological analyses of luminance threshold, spatial resolution, and contrast threshold, which are parameters correlated with light sensitivity, visual acuity, and contrast sensitivity, respectively. Recently, Rachubinsky et al²³ have observed a delay in the acquisition of motor milestones in Ts65Dn mice, and Gutierrez-Castellanos et al²⁴ have demonstrated that these mice present deficits in the vestibulo-ocular reflex comparable to those previously observed in persons with DS by Costa¹⁰.

The findings of the research described above, as well as hundreds of other studies in mouse models of DS and other intellectual disabilities of genetic origin, provide us for the first time with a real chance to uncover the neural basis of various forms of visual deficits and motor dysfunction associated with DS. Obviously, it is important that we maintain a sober perspective, and that do not forget that mice are not miniature people. With this perspective in mind, we also should not overlook the fact that the torrent of new information, which we expect will be generated in the next few years, has a real potential to revolutionize the therapeutic outlook for DS. For example, given the possibility that a significant component of the visual deficit observed in persons with DS might be of neurological origin, we can envision a relatively near future in which the rational development of pharmacological therapies to improve visual and motor function of persons with DS may become possible. Under this scenario, the evolution of our understanding of the neural basis of visual and motor deficits specifically associated with DS may lead to the refinement and individualization of rehabilitative strategies for persons with DS.

Ongoing studies by our research team aim to enhance the information base on visual and motor functions, and to include the investigation of interactions between visual function, motor performance, and balance (similar to those published in this journal by Pinheiro et al²⁶ and Rocha et al²⁷, in the infant development follow-up and harmonization). We hope our research will help to revitalize the awareness of the importance of visual and motor functions (as well as the integration of these functions) for the quality of life of persons with DS. There is no doubt that cognition is key. However, in order to operate efficiently in society, we also require that sensory information reach our brains and that we possess an unimpaired ability to interact with the physical world through the motor system.

REFERENCES:

- Patterson D, Costa AC. Down syndrome and genetics - a case of linked histories. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2005 Feb;6(2):137-47. Review. No abstract available.
- IBGE. Net 2010. Pessoas com deficiência. Disponível em <<http://www.ibge.com.br/home/>>. Acesso em: 3 jan 2000.
- Parker SE, Mai CT, Canfield MA, Richard R, Wang Y, Meyer RE, Anderson P, Mason CA, Collins JS, Kirby RS, Correa A. Updated National Birth Prevalence estimates for selected birth defects in United States 2004-2006. *National Birth Defects Prevention Network.* 2010 Dec, 88(12):1008-16. Doi: 10.1002/bdra.20735
- Pavarino BEC, Biselli JM, Bonfim D, Goloni-Bertollo EM. Clinical profile of children with down syndrome treated in a genetics outpatient service in the southeast of Brazil. *Rev. Assoc. Med. Bras.* [serial on the Internet]. 2009, 55(5): 547-552.
- Zigman WT, Lott IT. Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome: neurobiology and risk. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2007; 13(3):237-46.
- Caputo AR, Wagner RS, Reynolds DR, Guo SQ, Goel AK. Down syndrome. Clinical review of ocular features. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 1989 Aug;28(8):355-8.
- Courage ML, Adams RJ, Hall EJ. Contrast sensitivity in infants and children with Down syndrome. *Vis Res.* 1997; 37: 1545-1555.
- Cregg M, Woodhouse JM, Stewart RE, Pakeman VH, Bromham NR, Gunter HL, Trojanowska L, Parker M, Fraser WI. 2003. Development of refractive error and strabismus in children with Down syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 44:1023-1030.
- John FM, Bromham NR, Woodhouse JM, Candy TR. Spatial vision deficits in infants and children with Down syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004;45:1566-1572.
- Costa ACS. An assessment of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) in persons with Down

- syndrome. *Exp Brain Res*. 2011 Oct;214(2):199-213. doi: 10.1007/s00221-011-2820-y. Epub 2011 Aug 13.
11. Costa ACS. An assessment of optokinetic nystagmus (OKN) in persons with Down syndrome. *Exp Brain Res*. 2011 Oct;214(3):381-91. doi: 10.1007/s00221-011-2834-5. Epub 2011 Aug 14.
 12. Latash M, Wood L, Ulrich D. What is the currently known about hypotonia, motor skill development and physical activity in Down syndrome. Doi: 103104/reviews2074. 2008.
 13. Latash M. *Neurophysiologic Basis of Movement*. 1998
 14. Ulrich Da, Wu Looper, Algulo-Barroso RM. Effects of various treadmill interventions on the development of joint kinematics in infants with Down syndrome. *Phys Ther*, 2010; Sep 90(9):1265-76. Doi: 10.2522.
 15. Costa AC, Scott-McKean JJ. Prospects for improving brain function in individuals with Down syndrome. *CNS Drugs*. 2013 Sep;27(9):679-702. DOI: 10.1007/s40263-013-0089-3.
 16. Costa AC, Siddiqui A, Lacroix T, Stasko MR, Scott-McKean JJ, Gardiner KJ. Molecular responses of the Ts65Dn and Ts1Cje mouse models of Down syndrome to MK-801. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2008 Oct; 7(7):810-20. Doi: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2008.00428.x.
 17. Lockrow J, Borger H, Bimonte-Nelson H, Granholm AC. Effects of long-term memantine on memory and neuropathology in Ts65Dn mice, a model for Down syndrome. *Behav Brain Res*. 2011 Aug 10; 221(2):610-22. Doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2010.03.036.
 18. Rueda N, Llorens-Martín M, Florez J, Valdizan E, Banerjee P, Trejo JL, Martinez-Cue C. Memantine normalizes several phenotypic features in the Ts65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome. *J Alzheimer Dis*. 2010; 21(1):277-90. Doi: 10.3233/JAD-2010-100240.
 19. Figueiredo JL, Vinegoni C, de Abreu LC. Perinatal health and translational medicine. *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2013; 23(2): 125-127.
 20. Boada R, Huttaf Lee C, Schrader A, Weitzenkamp D, Benke TA, Goldson EJ, Costa AC. Antagonism of NMDA receptors as a potential treatment for Down's syndrome: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Translational Psychiatry*, 2012, 2, e141.
 21. Costa AC, Walsh K, Davisson MT. Motor dysfunction in mouse model for Down syndrome. *Physiol Behav*. 1999 Dec 1-15;68(1-2):211-20.
 22. Hampton TG, Stasko MR, Kale A, Amende I, Costa AC. Gait dynamics I trisomic mice: quantitative neurological traits of Down syndrome. *Physiol Behav*. 2004 Sep 15; 82(2-3):381-9.
 23. Scott-McKean JJ, Chang B, Hurd RE, Nusinowitz S, Schmidt C, Davisson MT, Costa ACS. The Mouse Model of Down Syndrome Ts65Dn Presents Visual Deficits as Assessed by Pattern Visual Evoked Potentials. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2010 June; 51(6): 3300-3308. doi: 10.1167/iovs.09-4465.
 24. Rachubinski AI, Maclean KN, Evans JR, Bjugstad KB. Modulating cognitive deficits and tau accumulation in a mouse of aging Down syndrome through neonatal implantation of neural progenitor cells. *Exp Gerontol*. 2012 Sep; 47(9):723-33. Doi: 10.1016/j.exger. 2012. 06.013.
 25. Gutierrez-Castellanos N, Winkelman BH, Tolosa-Rodriguez L, De Gruijl JR, De Zeeuw CI. Impact of aging on long-term ocular reflex adaptation. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2013 Dec; 34(12): 2784-92. Doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.06.012.
 26. Pinheiro RC, Martinez CMS, Fontaine AMG. Visual motor integration and overall development of preterm and at term children at the beginning of schooling, *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2014; 24(2): 181-187.
 27. Rocha ES, Rose GJ, Schivinski CIS. Level of physical activity and functional in athletes children. *Journal of Human Growth and Development*. 2014; 24(2): 127-134.