

SOME BATTLES ARE BEING LOST. WILL WE LOOSE THE WAR?

We have already talked here about the disputes of the first years of this decade about the universal public health systems and the so-called universal coverage health plans¹. In fact, health became a subject of interest in the General Assembly of the United Nations (UN)².

This story begun in 2009, when in the World Health Organization (WHO), a movement started to restrain the spreading of noncommunicable diseases, leded at that time by the International Diabetes Federation, by the World Heart Federation and by the Union for International Cancer Control. These organizations advocated for the primacy in the convocation of a summit of the United Nations about noncommunicable diseases. Then started the most fierce debate between those who stood up for the simple extension of the medical care services coverage and the detractors of this idea, who asserted that such a solution would not be enough to provide for the many other public health programs, indispensable to guarantee a “a wider vision, with a set of objectives, goals and prevention indicators, treatment, social determinants, health systems, climate change and equity, among other concerns”³. Thus, the arguments stretched from the need for “[...] establish and encourage a global health agenda for universal access to affordable medicines and health commodities. [...] we underlined the important role of generic medicines in the realization of the right to health”⁴, to the statement that the adjustment programs, leading governments to reduce public spending and the development of a private sector that pursues profit, within a logic based on the market, would not produce “health”. This debate pervaded the 67th Plenary Session of the United Nations, which ended up approving a consensus document encouraging governments to plan or proceed to the transition to universal, accessible and good quality health services⁵.

¹DALLARI, Sueli Gandolfi. Editorial. *Revista de Direito Sanitário*, São Paulo, v. 13, n. 2, p. 7-9, out. 2012. Available at: <<http://www.revistas.usp.br/rdisan/article/view/56225/59437>>. Date accessed: 15 Nov. 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9044.v13i2p7-9> e Id. Editorial. *Revista de Direito Sanitário*, São Paulo, v. 14, n. 1, p. 7-10, jun. 2013. Available at: <<http://www.revistas.usp.br/rdisan/article/view/56620/59637>>. Date accessed: 15 Nov. 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9044.v14i1p7-10>.

²UNGA. *Political Declaration of the High-level Meeting on the Prevention and Control of Non-communicable Diseases*. Sixty-sixth session. Available at: <<http://www.un.org/en/ga/ncdmeeting2011/>>. Date accessed: 15 Nov. 2017.

³HORTON, R. Offline: the advantages of Universal Health. *The Lancet*, v. 380, n. 9854, p. 1632, 10 Nov. 2012. Available at: <<http://www.thelancet.com/action/showFullTextImages?pii=S0140-6736%2812%2961932-1>>. Date accessed: 15 Nov. 2017. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61932-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61932-1).

⁴BRICS Health Ministers' Meeting - Beijing Declaration (11 July 2011). Available at: <<http://brics.itamaraty.gov.br/press-releases/21-documents/167-brics-health-ministers-meeting>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

⁵UNITED NATIONS. General Assembly. GA/11326. *Adopting consensus text, General Assembly encourages member states to plan, pursue transition of national health care systems towards universal coverage*. Dec 12, 2012. Available at: <<https://www.un.org/press/en/2012/ga11326.doc.htm>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

Meanwhile, in the environment where new objectives for the millennium are created⁶, it is possible to realize the division of interests among the advocates of the response to noncommunicable diseases and those who now clearly stand for universal health coverage. In the UN review about noncommunicable diseases, the realization was achieved of the need of commitment and action among the Member States. Besides, when adopting the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development, the 3rd objective – “Ensuring healthy lives and promoting the well-being for all at all ages.”⁷ – included the reduction of one third of premature mortality due to noncommunicable diseases through prevention and treatment, to be verified with the coefficient attributed to cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes or to chronic respiratory disease (goal and indicators 3.4.1⁸).

On the other hand, a pressure group was created that, in the 65th Regional Committee Session of the 52nd Meeting of the Directing Council of the Pan American Health Organization, claimed the need to “prioritize de universal access to health, understood as a guarantee of the right to health, responding not only to health service coverage, but also to the intervention in the health social determinants, as a priority objective to be addressed in the Development Agenda post 2015. It is also proposed, [...] to bolster universal coverage, that should include, as goals, the access to all mayor interventions, and the strengthening of the health systems.”⁹ The 2030 Agenda for sustainable development, also on the 3rd objective, defined as a goal and indicators: “to reach universal health coverage, including the protection against financial risk, the access to essential good quality medical care services and to safe, effective and good quality medicines and vaccines, that are economically viable for all”, verifiable through “essential health services coverage (defined as the average coverage of essential services based on tracer interventions that include reproductive, maternal, neonatal and child health, infectious diseases, noncommunicable diseases and service capacity and access of the general and the most disadvantaged

⁶In the UN General Assembly of 2014, a proposal was presented - and discussed in the Intergovernmental Negotiations on the Post 2015 Development Agenda (IGN), between January and August 2015 - and the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development, denominated “Transforming our World” in September 2015. Available at: <https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

⁷BRASIL. Ministério das Relações Exteriores. *Transformando Nosso Mundo: a Agenda 2030 para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável*. Available at: <http://www.itamaraty.gov.br/images/ed_desenvsust/Agenda2030completoportugus12fev2016.pdf>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

⁸UNITED NATIONS. General Assembly. *Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 6 July 2017*. Seventy-first session. Available at: <<https://undocs.org/A/RES/71/313>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

⁹ORGANIZAÇÃO PAN-AMERICANA DA SAÚDE. ORGANIZAÇÃO MUNDIAL DA SAÚDE. 52º Conselho Diretor. 65ª Sessão do Comitê Regional. *Os objetivos de desenvolvimento do milênio e as metas de saúde na região das américas*. Available at: <https://www.google.com.br/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=10&cad=rja&ved=OCJcBEBYwCQ&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.paho.org%2Fhq%2Findex.php%3Foption%3Dcom_docman%26task%3Ddoc_download%26gid%3D22651%26Itemid%3D270%26lang%3Dpt&ei=hLw6UuanO9004AP8rYGYCg&usq=AFQjCNHLO_abVXBi-p6Q2_R4I0ph8D9EvA&sig=8SZtfn6l14ytFkUz2fP_g&bvmm=bv.52288139,d.dmg>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

population)”, besides the “number of people covered by health insurance or a public health system every 1,000 inhabitants” (goal and indicators 3.8.1 and 3.8.2)¹⁰.

Today, it seems like that division of interests is becoming an open dispute. Curiously, the same editor who supported the adoption of a universal health system that would also contemplate the social determinants for a larger organization of actions and health services, now accuses the new Director General of the WHO, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus of ignoring the noncommunicable diseases in the choice of his priorities –as opposed to his predecessor, who asserted the importance of noncommunicable diseases, intended to reshape the face of public health. And adds: “There is little money available. Health systems are still too weak to deliver quality services. Nobody has been able to articulate how NCDs fit into the call for universal health coverage”.¹¹

However, the advocates of the universal health systems have not yet “thrown in the towel”. In fact, in September, a plan was launched, led by Senator Bernie Sanders (candidate in the last primary elections of the Democratic Party, for the presidential election in the United States of America) and subscribed for another 16 senators, who proposes the Universal Medicare Program (UMP). This is a single payer system that ends health insurance market and forbids the private insurers, ensuring the same health care currently provided by the Affordable Care Act (ACA), known as “Obama Care”, but eliminating the co-payments and the deductions and covering both the American citizens and the immigrants, documented or not, at all ages. The proponents see this plan as an ideal bridge to reach the universal health coverage¹².

The convocation to the WTO Global Conference about noncommunicable diseases, that will take place in Montevideo between 18 and 20 of October this year, signed by the President of Uruguay, Tabaré Vázquez, and by the director general of the WHO – starts with a list of actions tested by the organization to improve prevention, early detection and treatment of such diseases by “[...] prioritising essential medicines, counselling, and care for people living with an NCD.”¹³ In spite of that, it evolves to the proposition of regulatory actions. The text ends assenting that the governments will protect the health of their peoples by implementing these measures and that “Taxing tobacco, alcohol, and sugary drinks not only curbs consumption

¹⁰UNITED NATIONS. General Assembly. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 6 July 2017. Available at: <<https://undocs.org/A/RES/71/313>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

¹¹HORTON, R. NCDs: Why are we failing? *The Lancet*, v. 390, n. 10092, p. 346, July 22, 2017. Available at: <[http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(17\)31919-0/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(17)31919-0/fulltext)>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31919-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31919-0).

¹²17 SENATORS Introduce Medicare for All Act. Wednesday, September 13, 2017. Available at: <<https://www.sanders.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/17-senators-introduce-medicare-for-all-act>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017..

¹³Id. *Ibid*.

of unhealthy products, it can also generate revenue for disease prevention and treatment.”¹⁴

Therefore, it is evident that in the international scene, the first battles for the generalization of universal public health systems experienced important defeats, prevailing a much narrower understanding of what a universal health system is. It is now a question of struggling for a wider interpretation of the winning expression, as some scholars did and just published the results of a round table about universal health coverage, determination of priorities and human rights. They suggest three steps that States should take to proceed in this direction: (a) planners, legislators and judges should understand that setting priorities does not mean to maximize benefits and that the right to health does not imply to give access to every individual to any health care service regardless the cost; (b) once material and procedural principles that ensure the reasonable allocation of resources have been established, through participative and transparent processes, the States must institutionalize the priorities thus originated; (c) once a rational interpretation regarding the contents of the right to health is clearly stated under the national law, respecting the above principles, the finance ministers must adjust their budgets considering the state obligation to guarantee this right¹⁵.

In Brazil the defeats seem to be even more disheartening, because we departed from a constitutional definition of a universal public health system and see increasing threats to its sustenance. Certainly, the question connected with the so-called “judicialization of health” demands that we examine with serenity the arguments raised by the scholars in the round-table mentioned above. We lack agreements on every one of the proposed steps that, undoubtedly, are indispensable for the full realization of health as a right of all. On the other hand, the spend governmental energy in the introduction of mechanisms to ensure the health insurance market and stimulate the private insurers – as is the case with the proposition of the so-called “accessible plans”¹⁶ – is plain nonsense.

However, above all, it is not possible to accept a retrograde step in the guarantee of the right to health represented by the Constitutional Amendment no. 86/2015¹⁷. There is no doubt that Brazil undertook the obligation of the progressive

¹⁴GHEBREYESUS, Tedros Adhanom; RAMÓN VÁZQUEZ, Tabaré. op. cit.

¹⁵RUMBOLD, B. et al. Universal health coverage, priority setting, and the human right to health. *The Lancet*, v. 390, n. 10095, p. 712-4, Aug. 12, 2017. Available at: <[http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(17\)30931-5/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(17)30931-5/fulltext)>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30931-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30931-5).

¹⁶AGÊNCIA NACIONAL DE SAÚDE COMPLEMENTAR – ANS. *Relatório descritivo do GT de planos acessíveis*. Relatório de consolidação das informações e contribuições ao Projeto de Plano de Saúde Acessível. Rio de Janeiro, 22 de agosto de 2017. Available at: <http://www.ans.gov.br/images/stories/noticias/pdf/VERS%C3%83O_FINAL_RELATORIO_DESCRITIVO_GT_ANS_PROJETO_PLANO_DE_SAUDE_ACESSIVEL_FINAL_.pdf>. Date accessed: 13 Nov. 2017.

¹⁷BRASIL. *Emenda Constitucional n. 86, de 17 de março de 2015*. Amends the articles 165, 166 and 198 of the Federal Constitution, to turn into mandatory the execution of the specified budget program. Available at: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/constitucao/emendas/emc/emc86.htm>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

implementation of rights up to the maximum available resources, as per article 2º, item 1 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights* (promulgated by Decree no. 591/1992¹⁸). As there is not dispute over the fact that Brazil also committed to adopting measures to the maximum budget availability, inscribed on article 1º of the *Additional Protocol to the American Convention of Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, also known as the *Protocol of San Salvador* (promulgated by Decree no. 3.321/1999¹⁹). Besides, as of 2015, with the new rules enforced by the EC no. 86/2015, there was a considerable reduction in the sums allocated for health – from R\$ 11.7 billion in relation to 2014 and R\$ 2.5 billion in relation to 2015. Now, the nominal losses on the first financial years after the promulgation of this amendment reveal the unconstitutionality of this measure – for having reduced, in nominal terms, the value of one financial year to the other. This reduction was expressly prohibited by the Complementary Law no. 141/2012²⁰ (5th article, § 2º), that regulated article 198, § 2º, incise I, of the 1988 Federal Constitution²¹ – which establishes that the minimum percentages to be applied yearly by the Union in public health actions and services would be defined through complementary law. An auspicious victory in this battle was the concession of an injunction in the direct action for unconstitutionality (ADIn 5.595²²) reported by Minister Ricardo Lewandowski, asserting that the changes introduced by Constitutional Amendment no. 86/2015 to the minimum investment in the right to health “undeniably constrain the juridical stability and the progressive nature of federal funding of public health actions and services”.

It will be regrettable that, having managed to establish the desired objective, we start to give in and loose unnecessary battles. We know where we wish to get to, lets not lose the path planned: we want a universal public health system capable of ensuring the right to health for all!

Sueli Gandolfi Dallari
Scientific Editor

¹⁸BRASIL. *Decreto n. 591, de 6 de julho de 1992*. International Acts. International Covenant in he Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Promulgation. Available at: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/decreto/1990-1994/d0591.htm>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

¹⁹BRASIL. *Decreto n. 3.321, de 30 de dezembro de 1999*. Promulgates the Additional Protocol to the American Convention of Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights "Protocol of San Salvador", concluded on November 17 1988, in San Salvador, El Salvador. Available at: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/decreto/d3321.htm>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

²⁰BRASIL. *Lei Complementar n. 141, de 13 de janeiro de 2012*. Regulates § 3º of art. 198 of the Federal Constitution to rule on the minimum amounts to be applied yearly by the Union, States, Federal District and Municipalities in public health actions and services; determines the allocation criteria of resources to be transferred for health expenditure and the rules for monitoring, assessment and control of expenses with health in the three áreas of government; repeals dispositions of Laws no. 8.080, of Septemebr 19 1990, and 8.689, of July 27 1993; and gives other provisions. Available at: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/leis/LCP/Lcp141.htm>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

²¹BRASIL. *Constituição da República Federativa do Brasil de 1988*. Available at: <http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/constituicao/constituicaocompilado.htm>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.

²²SUPREMO TRIBUNAL FEDERAL. *ADI 5595 – Ação Direta de Inconstitucionalidade*. Available at: <<http://www.stf.jus.br/portal/processo/verProcessoAndamento.asp?incidente=5056708>>. Date accessed: 13 Oct. 2017.