

Revista de Saúde Pública

JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Survey of cyclopids (Crustacea, Copepoda) in Brazil and preliminary screening of their potential as dengue vector predators

Levantamento de ciclopídeos (Crustacea, Copepoda) no Brasil e avaliação preliminar de seu potencial como predadores dos vetores da dengue

Luciana Urbano dos Santos e Carlos Fernando S. de Andrade

*Departamento de Parasitologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Campinas, SP - Brasil
(L.U.S.), Departamento de Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Campinas, SP -
Brasil (C.F.S.A.)*

SANTOS, Luciana Urbano dos, Survey of cyclopids (Crustacea, Copepoda) in Brazil and preliminary screening of their potential as dengue vector predators. *Rev. Saúde Pública*, 31(3): 221-6, 1997.

Survey of cyclopids (*Crustacea, Copepoda*) in Brazil and preliminary screening of their potential as dengue vector predators*

Levantamento de ciclopídeos (Crustacea, Copepoda) no Brasil e avaliação preliminar de seu potencial como predadores dos vetores da dengue

Luciana Urbano dos Santos e Carlos Fernando S. de Andrade

Departamento de Parasitologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Campinas, SP - Brasil (L.U.S.), Departamento de Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Campinas, SP - Brasil (C.F.S.A.)

Abstract

Introduction

Cyclopoid copepods are known to be good mosquito controllers, specially as regards the larvae of the dengue vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*.

Material and Method

The objective of the study was to survey the local copepod fauna and search for new strains of *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus*, comparing the potential of the samples found with the current strain ML-01 against *Ae. albopictus* larvae, under laboratory conditions. Eleven bodies of water in Campinas, SP, Brazil, were screened for copepods by collecting 1.5 l of water from each of them. The predatory potential of adults copepods was evaluated over 24 h, in the laboratory, for groups of 5 individuals preying upon 30 first instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae.

Results and Conclusion

The following cyclopoid species were found: *Metacyclops mendocinus*, *Tropocyclops prasinus*, *Eucyclops* sp., *Eucyclops serrulatus*, *Eucyclops solitarius*, *Eucyclops ensifer*, *Macrocyclus albidus* var. *albidus* and *Mesocyclops longisetus* var. *longisetus*. The predatory potential of these copepods ranged from nil to 97.3%. A sample collected in the field containing only *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* showed the best control efficiency with no significant difference from a three-year old laboratory culture (ML-01) of the same species evaluated for comparison. The sample with few *M. albidus* var. *albidus* was ranked in second place showing an average 25.9% efficiency. The use of copepods in trap tires as dengue vector controllers is discussed.

Pest control biological. *Aedes*, physiology. Crustacea, physiology.

Resumo

Introdução

Copépodos ciclopídeos são conhecidos como bons controladores de mosquitos, especialmente quando considerado as larvas dos vetores da dengue *Aedes aegypti* e *Ae. albopictus*.

*Apresentado no 15º Congresso Brasileiro de Entomologia, Caxambu, MG, 1995.

Correspondência para/Correspondence to: Carlos Fernando S. Andrade - Caixa Postal 6109 - 13083-970 Campinas, SP - Brasil.

E-mail: cfeandra@obelix.unicamp.br.

Edição subvencionada pela FAPESP. Processo 96/5999-9.

Recebido em 4.9.1996. Reapresentado em 4.12.1996. Aprovado em 8.1.1997.

Material e Método

Onze corpos d'água em Campinas, SP, Brasil, foram avaliados para copépodos coletando-se 1,5 l de água de cada um deles. O potencial predador dos copépodos adultos foi avaliado por 24 h, em laboratório, em grupos de 5 indivíduos predando sobre 30 larvas de 1º estágio de *Ae. albopictus*.

Resultados e Conclusões

No presente levantamento as seguintes espécies de ciclopídeos foram encontradas: *Metacyclops mendocinus*, *Tropocyclops prasinus*, *Eucyclops sp.*, *Eucyclops serrulatus*, *Eucyclops solitarius*, *Eucyclops ensifer*, *Macrocyclus albidus var. albidus* and *Mesocyclops longisetus var. longisetus*. O potencial predador desses copépodos variou de zero a 97,3%. A amostra coletada no campo contendo apenas com *M. longisetus var. longisetus* mostrou a melhor eficiência de controle, sem diferença significativa de uma cultura de laboratório (ML-01) criada por 3 anos, desta mesma espécie, que foi avaliada para comparação. A amostra com poucos *M. albidus var. albidus* foi cotada em segundo melhor lugar, apresentando em média 25,9% de eficiência. O uso de copépodos em pneus armadilha como controladores dos vetores da dengue é discutido.

Controle biológico de vetores. *Aedes*, fisiologia. Crustáceos, fisiologia.

INTRODUCTION

Two species of the genus *Aedes* have been continuously focused on in vector control campaigns in Brazil in relation to dengue and yellow fever epidemics. *Aedes aegypti*, considered in 1956 to have been eradicated, re-emerged and has been the main dengue vector in the country since the Boa Vista, RO epidemics in 1981/82¹³. The second species, *Aedes albopictus*, was first recorded in Rio de Janeiro, RJ in 1986³. Supposedly introduced from Japan, this latter species has been considered a secondary dengue vector and a possible link between the presently eradicated urban yellow fever and the sylvatic form of the disease, due to its breeding habits in both urban and rural environments^{4,14}. In 1994 the distribution pattern of both species in the State of S. Paulo encompassed 184 and 157 municipalities, respectively, for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*, and a total of 209 distinct counties for the two species²².

The families Oithonidae and Cyclopinidae comprise predominantly salt water copepod species of the Order Cyclopoida, whereas the third family (Cyclopidae) is almost universally distributed along with mosquitoes in aquatic habitats such as wells, tree holes, lakes, reservoirs, fountains, salt marshes and even discarded tires¹². Many forms of freshwater zooplankton have a broad diet but cyclopids show a particular interest in first instar mosquito larvae as prey.

Cyclopids are more effective for biological control than other predatory invertebrates because it is common for cyclopids to be numerically abundant even when mosquitoes are not present¹⁰. The potential of cyclopid crustaceans for the control of mosquitoes has been undergoing evaluation since

the early 1980's^{6,18,21}. Field trials and even cyclopid-based programs for mosquito control have been carried out in many countries including Australia², the United States¹¹, Honduras¹⁰, Mexico¹⁵, Colombia⁷ and Brazil²³.

Four different Brazilian strains of *Mesocyclops aspericornis* from Fortaleza, CE in the Brazilian northeast have shown good potential as biological control agents of *Ae. aegypti* larvae, but were not as effective as an autochthonous *Mesocyclops longisetus var. longisetus* strain⁵. In a recent study, a strain of *M. longisetus var. longisetus* from Campinas, SP (ML-01) showed efficiencies higher than 97% for controlling *Ae. albopictus* larvae in trap tires in two field trials¹⁹. Projects on the use of copepods along with planarians and *Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis* are being carried out by the authors in education-based programs using trap tires. The introduction of copepods in natural mosquito breeding places in the county emerges too as a promising follow-up to this study. The aim of the present paper was to survey the local copepod fauna and search for new strains of *M. longisetus var. longisetus*, comparing the potential of the samples found with the current strain ML-01 against *Ae. albopictus* larvae, under laboratory conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Survey

Copepods were collected in Campinas, SP during June and July 1994. Eleven approximately equidistant areas were selected covering both urban and suburban locations of the county. In each area the water body possibly serving as a breeding place was screened by visual inspection,

and samples of 1.5 l of water were collected from a depth of from 5 to 15 cm. The number of adult and immature copepods was then assessed in batches under laboratory conditions, the crustaceans remaining in the water in the field, without additional food for 15 to 20 days before the predation trials.

The areas sampled were: Area 1- The Municipal park "Parque do Taquaral" (TAQUA) located in an urban area. Collections were made alongside a 17 ha lake, in well insolated places. Area 2- The Municipal park "Parque Ecológico Monsenhor Emílio José Salim" (PEMJS), on the eastern outskirts of the city. Collections were made alongside a 10 ha lake, in well insolated places. Area 3- Forestry resource reserve "Mata de Santa Genebra" (MSGEN) consisting of 240 ha of semi-deciduous and mesophytic forest on the northwestern limits of the city. Collections were made from pools along a shady stream 0.7 m wide and 20 cm deep, on average. Area 4- District of Barão Geraldo (BARAO), on the northern outskirts of Campinas. Collections were made in a 0.5 m² pool in a small shady swamp. This particular sampling site is on waste land close to secondary woodland. Area 5- Campus II of the Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas- PUCCAMP (PUCII), located on the western boundary of the city. Collections were made in a pool of nearly 12 m² formed by a permanent stream. Area 6- Central Campus of the PUCCAMP, located in downtown Campinas (PUCCC). Collections were made in the tiled pool of an artificial fountain covering 10 m² in area and 0.45 m deep. This fountain is located in the old yard of the school buildings. Areas 7 and 8- Largo do Pará (LPARA) and Centro de Convivência (CCONV) are downtown squares. Collections were made in tiled pools of nearly 200 m² in area and 0.25 m in depth. Area 9- Square of the Castro Mendes theater in a western suburb of the city (TCMEN). Collections were made also in the tiled pool of an artificial fountain of 28 m² in area and 0.20 m in depth. Area 10- UNICAMP campus (UNICA). Two collections were made, one in a 1m³ rain water reservoir (paras) and the other in the central fountain nearly 1900 m² in area and 0.50 m in depth (cbasi). Area 11- A small roadside stream on the northeastern limits of the city (DPEDR). Collections were made in natural pools close to the banks of the stream.

Those water samples which were positive for copepods were analyzed for temperature, pH, dissolved O₂, nitrite, nitrate and ammonia.

Predatory Potential

Adult copepods from each positive sample were evaluated for predatory potential in groups of 5 individuals preying upon 30 first instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae. *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* from a laboratory culture (ML-01) maintained for the last 3 years were also evaluated for comparison. The size of females with egg sacs was used as indicative of adult forms. Some individuals (from each sample) were saved in order to serve as eventual founders of new cultures. Four replicate cultures along with two controls (only copepods and only mosquitoes) were established in the laboratory for each population sampled.

Mosquito larvae were offered to the copepods 24 h after their transfer to plastic vials containing 450 ml of commercial spring water, with no additional food. Evaluations were made by counting mosquito larvae surviving 24 h thereafter¹⁰. The temperature was 24 - 25° C. Data were corrected by using Abbott's formula¹ and compared by Student's t-test.

After the evaluations all copepods were preserved in glycerinated alcohol (20%) and sent to the Museum of Zoology of the State University of S. Paulo, USP (entry numbers from 12.270 to 12.280).

RESULTS

Survey

The water from two urban areas (CCONV and TCMEN) showed no copepods during *in situ* inspection, confirmed by laboratory examination. Additionally, in three areas the number of copepods obtained led to inconclusive identification, being represented by few individuals or only copepodids. The remaining areas showed 1 to 3 copepod species in each sample (Table 1).

The copepod identification was carried out after the predatory potential test, meaning that in 2 of the samples different species were evaluated together. In PEMJS the proportion was 4 *Tropocyclops prasinus* to 1 (*M. longisetus* var. *longisetus*) and in the sample RPEDR, *Eucyclops* sp, *Eucyclops solitarius* and *Macrocyclops a. albidus* rated as 3.5/1/0.5, respectively.

Predatory Potential

Attempts to breed unidentified copepods in order to evaluate their predatory potential were unsuccessful. Due to the difficulty in unequivocally differentiating adults, late instar copepodids were used and represented 30% and 15% of two of the evaluated samples, respectively TAQUA and PEMJS.

The mortality percentage in the copepod control group was nil while for the 1st instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae control group, mortality ranged from nil to 13.3%.

The *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* strain ML-01 confirmed its high potential, preying on up to 92% *Ae. albopictus* larvae in a 24h period. The samples collected showed a variation ranging from nil to 97.3% (24h), this later performance (UNICA/paras) being the only one with no significant difference from that showed by the ML-01 strain (Table 2).

Table 1 - Sampled area/place, location characteristics, copepod species and density (n/1.5 l) found in Campinas, SP, Brazil.

Area /place	Location	Copepod species	Density n/1.5 l
1 - TAQUA	suburban	<i>Eucyclops serrulatus</i>	40
2 - PEMJS	suburban	<i>Tropocyclops prasinus</i>	32
		<i>Mesocyclops longisetus</i> var. <i>longisetus</i>	
3 - MSGEN	suburban	unidentified	3
4 - BARAO	suburban	<i>Eucyclops ensifer</i>	35
5 - PUCC II	suburban	unidentified	4
6 - PUCCC	central urban	unidentified	5
7 - LPARA	central urban	<i>Eucyclops serrulatus</i>	70
8 - CCONV	central urban	-	0
9 - TCMEN	suburban	-	0
10 - UNICA	suburban		
/cbasi		<i>Metacyclops mendocinus</i>	32
/paras		<i>Mesocyclops longisetus</i> var. <i>longisetus</i>	80
11 - DPEDR	suburban	<i>Eucyclops</i> sp	42
		<i>Macrocyclus albidus</i> var. <i>albidus</i>	
		<i>Eucyclops solitarius</i>	

* See in material and method the complete area names.

Table 2 - Mean efficiency of copepods from different areas of Campinas, SP, Brazil as predators of *Ae. albopictus* larvae under laboratory conditions. The ML-01 strain of *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* was used for comparison.

Areas/places	Efficiency (%)	p = 0.05	p = 0.01
UNICA/paras	97.30	a*	A
MI - 01	92.47	a	A
PEMJS	25.90	b	B
DPEDR	13.38	b c	B
LPARA	3.42	c	B
UNICA/cbasi	2.77	c	B
BARAO	1.65	c	B
TAQUA	0.00	c	B

Values followed by the same letter are not different at the probability indicated.

* See in material and method the complete area names.

DISCUSSION

The present survey confirms that copepods are common and well distributed in natural water bodies both in urban and suburban areas of Campinas. They were not found in only two downtown artificial pools, probably due to the frequent cleaning that involves complete drainage every two months. In LPARA, also an artificial downtown pool, drainage and cleaning was done 5 months before collections, and at least *E. serrulatus* could be found in abundance.

Physical analysis of the water where copepods were found showed a pH ranging from 6.5 to 8.5 and dissolved O₂ from 2.0 to 17.0 ppm. Nitrite and nitrate varied from 0.01 to 0.74 ppm and ammonia from 0.06 to 1.28 ppm. Correlation to population density was only found to be "appreciable" for both nitrate (r = 0.46) and nitrite (r = 0.33) and "low" for ammonia (r = 0.25), according to Rugg's table²⁰. Water tem-

perature ranging from 15 to 19°C during this study could not account for the absence of copepods in CCONV and TCMEN areas. In UNICA/paras copepods have been frequently found during the winter at temperatures around 15°C.

According to Reid¹⁶ late instar copepodids are easily mistaken for adults. Rietzler¹⁷ has pointed out that copepods begin to prey on such insects as first instar copepodids, the predation rate rising as they mature. Thus, it may not be supposed that the low observed efficiencies recorded in the present study are to be directly explained by the presence of some late instar copepodids in samples TAQUA and PEMJS.

Statistical analysis of the predation trials showed the best results for the sample containing only *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* (UNICA/paras), with no differences in the trials with the same species cultured under laboratory conditions (ML-01). A lower efficiency was found for two samples: one with both *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* plus *T. prasinus* (PEMJS) and the other with *Eucyclops* sp plus *M. albidus* var. *albidus* plus *E. solitarius* (DPEDR). The present results confirm the good potential of *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* and suggest that *M. albidus* var. *albidus* was the main predator in this last sample (DPEDR), as both species have been shown to be the most effective against *Ae. albopictus* larvae⁸.

It may be concluded that the copepods found of the genera *Eucyclops*, *Tropocyclops* and *Metacyclops* have poor predatory potential against *Ae. albopictus* larvae. Samples containing only copepods of the genus *Eucyclops* showed predation varying from nil to 3.42%. The sample with only *M. mendocinus* showed a percentage not significantly different from that range, and the sample with *T.*

prasinus (PEMJS) showed a significantly higher efficiency, but probably due to the presence of one individual of *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus*. Copepod efficiency as a mosquito predator can be discussed in terms of the adult size of the different species. In the data presented by Reid¹⁶ a mean length of 0.87 mm is given for adults of the presently evaluated species in *Eucyclops*, *Metacyclops* and *Tropocyclops*, irrespective of sex. Indeed, copepod species represented by small individuals are quite common in mosquito breeding habitats but they are not such good predators as those represented by larger individuals such as those of the genera *Macrocyclus* and *Mesocyclops* (mean length 1.45 mm)⁹. While one individual of the largest copepod species (*Macrocyclus* or *Mesocyclops*) can prey on as many as 50 larvae/day¹⁰, the smallest ones attack mosquito larvae in groups, though not compensating in numbers for the disadvantage of their size (C. E. Rocha, State University of S. Paulo, personal communication).

From these findings *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* remains the most promising species among the copepods for use as a biological agent to be introduced in natural breeding places in Campinas. At

the present time, no species so far screened shows such good potential as *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* for use in trap tires.

CONCLUSION

Copepods, represented in the present survey by eight species of five genera, are easily found in bodies of water from central urban and suburban locations in Campinas, SP, Brazil.

M. longisetus var. *longisetus*, a copepod already used in biological control projects, was found in only two out of eleven breeding places, occurring along with *Tropocyclops prasinus* in a 10 ha lake, and alone in a 1 m³ rain water reservoir.

Predation trials against 1st instar *Ae. albopictus* larvae with samples containing only *M. longisetus* var. *longisetus* confirmed this latter as a good mosquito controller. The predatory efficiency of a field collected sample of this copepod did not differ from that of a 3-year old laboratory culture.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To Dr. C.E. da Rocha (University of S. Paulo) for identifications of copepods.

REFERENCES

1. ABBOTT, W.S. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **18**: 265-7, 1925.
2. BROWN, M.D.; KAY, H.B.; HENDRIKZ, K.J. Evaluation of Australian *Mesocyclops* (Cyclopoida: Cyclopidae) for mosquito control. *J. Med. Entomol.*, **28**: 618-23, 1991.
3. FORATTINI, O. P. *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus* (Skuse) identification in Brazil. *Rev. Saúde Pública*, **20**: 244-5, 1986.
4. GOMES, A.C.; FORATTINI, O.P.; KAKITANI, I.; MARQUES, G.R.A.M.; MARQUES, C.C.A.; MARUCCI, D.; BRITO, M. Microhabitats de *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) na região do Vale do Paraíba, Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Rev. Saúde Pública*, **26**: 108-18, 1992.
5. KAY, B.H.; CABRAL, C.P.; SLEIGH, A.C.; BROWN, M.D.; RIBEIRO, Z.M.; VASCONCELOS, W.A. Laboratory evaluation of Brazilian *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda, Cyclopidae) for mosquito control. *J. Med. Entomol.*, **29**: 599-602, 1992.
6. MARTEN, G.G. Impact of the copepod *Mesocyclops leuckarti pilosa* and the green alga *Kirchneriella irregularis* upon larval *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera, Culicidae). *Bull. Soc. Vector Ecol.*, **9**: 1-5, 1984.
7. MARTEN, G.G.; ASTAIZA, R.; SUÁREZ, M.F.; MONJE, C.; REID, J.W. Natural control of larval *Anopheles albimanus* (Diptera, Culicidae) by predator *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda, Cyclopidae). *J. Med. Entomol.*, **26**: 624-7, 1989.
8. MARTEN, G.G. Evaluation of cyclopoid copepods for *Aedes albopictus* control in tires. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.*, **6**: 681-8, 1990.
9. MARTEN, G.G. & BORDES, E.S. Biological control of mosquitoes. Mosquito control Training manual. In: *Commercial pesticide aplicatini*. 3 ed. New Orleans, Louisiana State University, 1993. Chapter 10.
10. MARTEN, G.G.; BORJAS, M.C.; FERNANDEZ, E.; REID, J.W. Control of larval *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) by Cyclopoid Copepods in Peridomestic breeding containers. *J. Med. Entomol.*, **31**: 36-44, 1994.
11. MARTEN, G.G.; BORDES, S. E.; NGUYEN, M. Use of cyclopoid copepods for mosquito control. *Hidrobiologia*, **292-293**: 491-6, 1994.
12. NASCI, R.S.; HARE, S.G.F.; VECCHIONE, M. Habitat Associations of Mosquito and Copepod species. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.*, **3**: 593-600, 1987.
13. OSANAI, C. H.; ROSA, A.P.A.T.; TANG, A.T.; AMARAL, R.E.; PASSOS, A.D.C.; TAUILL, P.L. Surto de dengue em Boa Vista, Roraima. (Nota prévia) *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. S. Paulo*, **25**: 53-4, 1983.
14. PONTES, R.J.S. & RUFFINO-NETTO, A. Dengue em localidade urbana da região Sudeste do Brasil: aspectos epidemiológicos. *Rev. Saúde Pública*, **28**: 218-27, 1994.

15. QUIROZ-MARTINEZ, H.; SOLIS-ROJAS, C.; RODRÍGUES-TOVAR, M.L. Field releases of *Mesocyclops longisetus* (Copepoda, Cyclopidae) for control of *Aedes aegypti* larvae in 55-gallon metal drums in Monterey, Mexico. Mosquito Vector Control and Biology in Latin America - a third symposium. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.*, **9**: 452, 1993.
16. REID, J.W. Chave de identificação e lista de referências bibliográficas para espécies continentais sulamericanas de vida livre da ordem Cyclopoida. *Bol. Zool.*, **9**: 17-143, 1985.
17. RIETZLER, A.C. Alimentação, ciclo de vida e análise de coexistência de espécies de Cyclopoida na Represa de Barra Bonita, São Carlos, 1994. [Tese de Doutorado - Escola de Engenharia de São Carlos da USP].
18. RIVIERE, F. & THIREL, R. La prédation du copépode *Mesocyclops leuckarti pilosa* (Crustacea) sur les larves de *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* et de *Ae. (St.) polynesiensis* (Dip.: Culicidae). Essais préliminaires D'utilisation comme agente de lutte biologique. *Entomophaga*, **26**: 427-39, 1981.
19. SANTOS, L.U.; ANDRADE, C. F.S.; CARVALHO, G.A. Biological control of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae in trap tires by *Mesocyclops longisetus* (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) in two field trials. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz*, **9**: 161-2, 1996.
20. SOUNIS, E. *Bio-Estatística*. Rio de Janeiro, Ed. McGraw - Hill, 1972.
21. SUAREZ, M.F.; AYALA, D.; NELSON, M.J.; REID, J.W. Hallazgo de *Mesocyclops aspericornis* (Daday) (Copepoda, Cyclopidae) depredador de larvas de *Aedes aegypti* en Anapoima-Colombia. *Biomédica*, **4**: 74-6, 1984.
22. SUPERINTENDÊNCIA DO CONTROLE DE ENDEMIAS (SUCEN). Municípios infestados por *Aedes*. São Paulo, 1996.
23. VASCONCELOS, A.W.; SLEIGH, A.C.; KAY, B. H.; CABRAL, C.P.; ARAÚJO, D.B.; RIBEIRO, Z.M.; BRAGA, P.H.; CAVALCANTE, J.S. Community use of copepods to control *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil. In: Halstead, S. B. & Gómez-Dantes, H., ed. *Dengue: a worldwide problem, a common strategy*, Mexico, The Rockefeller Foundation and Ministry of Health, 1992. p. 139-44.